What Really Happenes to the BHs in Clusters?



What Really Happenes to the BHs in Clusters?



What Really Happenes to the BHs in Clusters?





Binarity, Retention and Ejection





Chaotic Interactions

Escape speed of the cluster determines the semi-major axis of the ejected binaries



Chaotic Interactions



Cluster Mass (M_{\odot})

What are the consequences?





Our most detailed predictions for LIGO came out just before the first detection ...

PRL 115, 051101 (2015)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 31 JULY 2015

Binary Black Hole Mergers from Globular Clusters: Implications for Advanced LIGO

Carl L. Rodriguez,¹ Meagan Morscher,¹ Bharath Pattabiraman,^{1,2} Sourav Chatterjee,¹ Carl-Johan Haster,^{1,3} and Frederic A. Rasio¹ ¹Center for Interdisciplinary Exploration and Research in Astrophysics (CIERA) and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Rd, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA ²Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA ³School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom (Received 2 May 2015; published 30 July 2015)

The predicted rate of binary black hole mergers from galactic fields can vary over several orders of magnitude and is extremely sensitive to the assumptions of stellar evolution. But in dense stellar environments such as globular clusters, binary black holes form by well-understood gravitational interactions. In this Letter, we study the formation of black hole binaries in an extensive collection of realistic globular cluster models. By comparing these models to observed Milky Way and extragalactic globular clusters, we find that the mergers of dynamically formed binaries could be detected at a rate of ~ 100 per year, potentially dominating the binary black hole merger rate. We also find that a majority of cluster-formed binaries are more massive than their field-formed counterparts, suggesting that Advanced LIGO could identify certain binaries as originating from dense stellar environments.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.051101

PACS numbers: 04.30.Db, 98.20.-d

BH-BH Merger Properties as LIGO source Masses





RoCRa-16, PRD

Results Insensitive to Model Assumptions A key difference between dynamical and isolated formation channels

Initial $f_{b, high-mass} = 1$ Initial $f_{b, high-mass} = 0$





Results Insensitive to Model Assumptions A key difference between dynamical and isolated formation channels



Results Insensitive to Model Assumptions

source of variations: metallicity and cluster age



Chatterjee et al. 2016

Converting t_{delay} to Z_{merger}



BH-BH Merger Properties as LIGO source Masses



Chatterjee et al. 2016

BH-BH Merger Properties as LIGO source Masses



RoCjCRa16, ApJL

BH-BH Merger Rates in aLIGO

Mergers	Pessimistic	Realistic	Optimistic
O1 (Detections / 16 Days)	0.05	0.2	0.7
O1 (Detections / 50 Days)	0.2	0.5	2
O2 (Detections / Year)	4	15	60
Design Sensitivity (Detections / Year)	30	100	400



RoCRa-16, PRD

What's in the future on this topic?

- More detectors will lead to better sky localization (many planned, several under construction)
- Hundreds of detections
 - distributions of properties to constrain models
- How to uniquely identify the formation channel of a specific observed event
 - masses—not promising (e.g., CRoKRa-16,ApJL, submitted)
 - mass ratios—can have uncertainties (in prep)
 - eccentricities—needs LISA (e.g., Breivik et al. 2016; Chatterjee et al. 2016- ApJL, in press)
 - fortuitous discovery of high-e systems!!!
 - spins (promising, but hard to constrain observationally; e.g., Rodriguez et al. 2016, ApJL, submitted)
- Potential electro-magnetic counterparts??
- Ways to identify clusters hosting large numbers of undetected stellar BHs
- More interesting dynamically active stellar systems, e.g., nuclear clusters